

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,892	08/18/2003	Raymond V. Calvesio	RA-5621	3356
27516 75	90 07/17/2006		EXAMINER	
UNISYS COR	PORATION		WEBB, JA	MISUE A
MS 4773			A DECEMBER OF	DANES AND ADD
PO BOX 64942			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. PAUL, MN 55164-0942			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/642,892	CALVESIO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jamisue A. Webb	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .				
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/24/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. With respect to Claim 1: the phrase "at the time of travel, utilizing the automated checkin process to complete the international border crossing without the aid of human intervention" is indefinite. It is unclear to the examiner how check-in (which is the start of a trip), can complete (which is the end of the trip) border crossing. Furthermore, it is unclear what is being done without human intervention, the border crossing or the check-in?

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sehr (6,085,976).
- 6. With respect to Claims 1, 23 and 31: Sehr discloses a method of using a data processing system as well as a system for processing travelers (see abstract) that comprises the steps of:
 - a. Prior to the time of travel, employing the data processing system to enroll a traveler to utilize an automated check in process, Sehr discloses that a user receives a passenger card, where the passenger cars has stored personal information in the card, including ticketing information as well as address and biometrics information (See Column 2, lines 63-67, Column 3, lines 57-67, Column 4, lines 44-53 and Column 5, lines 29-32). The examiner considers this to be enrolling the passenger due to the fact that the user must first register the information in the card, and receive the card before use, therefore enrolling in the system, which uses the card for ticketing and other purposes. Sehr discloses the passenger card can hold passport information. When a passenger is issued a passport, a government official (which the examiner considers to be an authorized enrollment representative) issues a passport, which allows a passenger to cross international borders for multiple countries, which the US has agreements with. Therefore due to the fact that Sehr discloses the passenger card holds passport

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892

Art Unit: 3629

information and the passport information is entered prior to the time of travel, then Sehr discloses the limitation of "after an authorized enrollment representative verifies that the traveler is eligible to make an international border crossing.

Page 4

- b. At the time of travel, utilizing the automated check-in process to complete activities necessary to enable an international border crossing (Column 11, lines 31-59, Column 23, lines 21-26 and Column 35, lines 57-62). Sehr discloses that the process of checking-in, tagging the luggage and issuing a boarding pass (which is done in the day of travel) can also be accomplished automatically via the card's built-in computerized means (Column 35, lines 57-62). It is the examiner's position that one must first checkin, in order to travel, therefore the automatic check-in process of Sehr (without human intervention), is utilized to complete the international border crossing.
- 7. With respect to Claims 2-5, 9-11, 27 and 28: Sehr discloses that background information is loaded into the passenger card. Where the background information is an electronic representation of a passport (Column 14, lines 15-27). Sehr also discloses that information on the card can be matched with information in other government databases (Column 11, lines 56-62 and Column 32, lines 1-18 and 44-47)
- 8. With respect to Claims 6-8, and 26: See Column 17, lines 43-67, Column 20, lines 47-57, and Column 13, lines 4-11)
- 9. With respect to Claim 12: See Column 8, lines 3-58.
- 10. With respect to Claims 13 and 14: Sehr discloses that there is a dialogue between the check-in station and the passenger (Column 32, lines 61-63) and states that forms are filled out by the passengers where the passenger signs a signature pad (Column 41, lines 27-35). The

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892

Art Unit: 3629

examiner considers this to be a form of a questionnaire, due to the fact that the form has preprinted information, that the passenger has to fill out, or answer.

- 11. With respect to Claim 15: See Column 24, lines 19-53 and Column 34, line 37-38.
- 12. With respect to Claims 16 and 25: See Sehr, Column 7, lines 25-64. Sehr discloses a travel center to be a self-service machine in such places as airports, railroad stations and travel agencies. The examiner considers this to be a form of a kiosk.
- 13. With respect to Claims 17-20, 24, 29, 30 and 32: See Column 41, line 36 to Column 42, line 42.
- 14. With respect to Claim 21: See Column 4, lines 44-53
- 15. With respect to Claim 22: See Abstract, and Column 4, lines 26-42.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed 4/24/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 17. With respect to Applicant's argument that Sehr does not disclose employing a data processing system to enroll a passenger after an authorized enrollment representative verifies that the traveler is eligible to make an international border crossing: The rejection has been modified to address this new limitation Sehr discloses the smart card containing Passport information.

 When a passenger gets a passport, and authorized enrollment official (government official) is in essence verifies that the passenger is eligible for international travel. Therefore, Sehr discloses the passenger inputting passport information, therefore enrolling "after" the passenger receives

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/642,892

Art Unit: 3629

the passport, and therefore "after" an authorized enrollment representative verifies that the traveler is eligible for international border crossing.

With respect to Applicant's argument that Sehr does not disclose an automated check-in 18. procedure... without the aid of human intervention: Sehr discloses it is possible for there to be human intervention, and have the airline representative check-in the passenger, however clearly states in Column 35, lines 57, "The above process of checking-in, tagging the luggage, and issuing a boarding pass by an airline representative can be accomplished automatically via the card's built-in computerized means, while coupling the card to the passenger station-line apparatus and communicating with the airliner's system database". Nowhere in this statement is there human intervention. Sehr discloses human intervention as an alternative process, not a combined process. Sehr discloses checking-in the passenger as well as checking in the luggage, and does not state that it is in reference to only baggage check-in procedure. It states that the issuing of a boarding pass is done automatic. The issuing of a boarding pass is done when a passenger checks-in. A baggage is not issued a boarding pass, therefore it is the examiner's position that the check-in procedure is pertaining to the passenger as well as the baggage. Sehr discloses an entire process from checking in a passenger, to checking in the luggage to tagging the luggage, and states that the above process, containing multiple steps. Sehr does not disclose the process is only automated for the baggage, due to the fact that it clearly states a boarding pass, which is for the passenger, therefore the statement is directed towards a passenger as well as baggage. Therefore, the examiner does not find the arguments persuasive and the rejections stand as stated above.

Art Unit: 3629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamisue Webb Patent Examiner Art Unit 3629